1115RF 92

DATE 3-26-%

DUE

DIST.

ACTION

BENJAMIN, A. BERMAN, H.S BRANCH, D.B

BURLINGAME, A.H. CARNIVAL, G.J. COPP, R.D.

CROUCHER, D.W. DAVIS, J.G. EVERED, J.E. FERRERA, D.W.

GOODWIN, R.

HANNI, B.J.

HLBIG, J.G

KRIEG, D. KUESTER, A.W.

MAJESTIC, J

OTTER, G.L

SANDLIN, N.B. SHEPLER, R. L

SULLIVAN, M.T. SWANSON, E.R.

ALLMAN, K.G. VIEBE, J.S.

VILKINSON, R.B.

Junge P

ORRES CONTROL

DATE

lef Ltr. #

Reviewed for Addressee Corres, Control RFP

BY

VILSON, J.M. 'OUNG, E.R.

ANE, J.O.

MARX, G.E. MORGAN, R.V States Government

Department of Energy

emorandum

FEB 28 3 53 PH '9Rocky Flats Office

20200

FFB 2 6 1992

ROCKY FLATS FLANT CORRESPONDENCE SONTROL

ERD:FRL:2288

IAG Milestone Extension Negotiations

J. M. Kersh, Associate General Manager Environmental and Waste Management EQ&G Rocky Flats, Inc.



The Colorado Department of Health and Environmental Protection Agency Region VIII have expressed a concern with the level of funding for environmental restoration activities in FY92 and beyond. They allege that potential violations of the IAG may exist for failure to provide adequate funding. Based on conversations with DOE and EG&G staff, I believe that much of the funding "shortfall" is caused by scope growth in RI/RFI Workplans and the inability of the two-year funding cycle to respond quickly to such massive growth. To prepare for discussions with the regulators, I request you prepare the following supporting information:

- 1) A comprehensive listing of the technical items in the approved or draft workplans that exceed the requirements specified in Table 5 of the IAG. The list should categorize by OU and identify all additions to the IAG scope, not limited to fiscal year, including an estimate of the schedule impact if additive to the cost impact (such as laboratory time for additional samples).
- The cost and schedule impacts as a result of scope growth specific to FY92.
- 3) An updated submittal of Level 5 project schedules with IAG milestones superimposed for each OU. The schedules should reflect baseline funding guidance and current EG&G schedules for support and subcontract functions. Schedules should also note budget approval dates from DOE required to maintain the schedules.
- 4) EG&G latest funding requirements for FY93 based on approved FY92 funding levels and no further degradation of schedules beyond that created by the FY92 underfunding.



000020173

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

ACON

This information will be used to request milestone extensions as appropriate and also inform the regulators of the impact of the scope increases, therefore, your prompt attention is requested. Please contact Frazer Lockhart (x7846) or Rich Schassburger (x4888) of my Environmental Restoration Division to coordinate delivery of this information and any clarification.

Assistant Manager

for Environmental Management

R. Lightner, EM-45

R. Greenberg, EM-453

A. Rampertaap, EM-453
R. Schassburger, ERD, RFO
E. Evered, EG&G

P. Bunge, EG&G